

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,276	SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772	

All Participants:
Status of Application: before first action on the merits

 (1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.

(3) _____.

 (2) Therese A. Hendricks.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2006
Time: ~3:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

28-68

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Walter Aughenbaugh notified Applicant's representative that it was unclear which Group Applicant intended to elect in the Response to Restriction Requirement mailed December 6, 2005. Applicant's representative elected Group I, claims 28-44, in this interview..